

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Prent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexaddia, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/765,633	01/22/2001		Masato Ageta	1086.1135/JDH	8778	
21171	7590	06/30/2006		EXAMINER		
STAAS &		/ LLP	LUU, SY D			
ЛМ LIVINO SUITE 700	SSTON		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		VENUE, N.W.	2174			
WASHING	ron, dc	20005	DATE MAILED: 06/30/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<del></del>		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/765,63	3	AGETA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Sy D. Luu		2174				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	tion appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address	;			
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA is ions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to treply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  17 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever cation.  ays, a reply within the statuary period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apply.	int, however, may a reply be ti story minimum of thirty (30) da I expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status	•							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>05 April 2006</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☐ This action is n	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠ 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from coi						
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by							
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for   All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority do  2. Certified copies of the priority do  3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have bee cuments have bee the priority docume I Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applicat ents have been receive 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	e			
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certi	ied copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachment	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/17/06</u> .	O/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal (6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This communication is responsive to the Amendment filed April 5, 2006.
- 2. Claims 1-31 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 14, 21 and 28-31 are independent claims. In the instant Amendment, claims 1, 3-4, 14, 16-17, 21, 23-24, and 28-31 were amended.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-XXX are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humpleman et al. ("Humpleman", US 6,603,488 B2) in view of Gentner (US 5,796,404).

As per claims 1-3, Humpleman teaches an information processing apparatus, comprising:

a menu storage unit storing characteristic menu information corresponding to applications (fig. 8; col. 19, line 65 – col. 20, line 30; menu 712 corresponding to various control applications which are associated with the devices such as "Dads TV" and "Jims DVD"); and a menu development unit discriminating whether one of the applications is being executed and displaying a menu corresponding to the discriminated application on a screen using said menu information, a menu execution unit executing a processing corresponding to a menu item selected from said menu, wherein if the application for which said menu information exists is being executed, the application is activated (figs. 8 and 10; col. 15, lines 30 – col. 16, line 7; control application for "Dads TV" is selected/started, and a corresponding menu 804 is displayed with various menu items such as "Channel" and "Volume" which could be selected for processing).

Humpleman does not teach the characteristic menu information to be composed of key codes serving as commands in applications. Gentner teaches menu information composed of key codes serving as commands in applications (abstract; fig. 3; col. 5, lines 66 et seq.). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine Gentner's teaching with Humpleman's menu information in order to provide yet another convenient choice of initiating commands.

Claims 4-5 are similar in scope to claim 3, and thus would be rejected under similar rationale. Humpleman further teaches said menu development unit to display a predetermined menu on the screen if an application which does not store said menu information is being executed, wherein said predetermined menu is a launcher menu for starting the applications (fig. 8; predetermined menu 710 is displayed if none of the applications 712 is started).

Claims 14-18 are similar in scope to claims 1-5 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 21-25 and 28 are similar in scope to claims 1-5 and 1 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 29-31 are similar in scope to claims 1, 4 and 5 respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 6, 19 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humpleman et al. ("Humpleman", US 6,603,488 B2) in view of Gentner (US 5,796,404).

As per claim 6, Humpleman teaches the display of a menu 710 and a number of menu items 712 therein (fig. 8), but does not teach if a menu item is selected from said menu, the menu is deleted from the screen. Official Notice is taken that such a feature is well known in the art. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to combine such a feature with the teaching of Humpleman in order to increase screen display area for the display of the subsequent screen.

Claims 19 and 26 are individually similar in scope to claim 6, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

6. Claims 7-13, 20 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Humpleman et al. ("Humpleman", US 6,603,488 B2) and Gentner (US 5,796,404), and further in view of Higuchi (JP-01100620).

As per claims 7, 12 and 13, Humpleman does not explicitly disclose an indication unit indicating display of said menu, and said menu development unit to discriminate the executing application if an indication of said indication unit is detected, wherein said indication unit is provided in front of a keyboard; and wherein the processing apparatus comprises a cover on which a display is arranged, a main body on which said keyboard is arranged and a coupling section coupling the cover to the main body. However, all of these components/features when used in conjunction with a portable personal computer (PC) are known in the art. For instance, Higuchi teaches a portable computer having these components and features (fig. 1; page 1, last para. – page 2, first para.). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to equip Humpleman's apparatus with these components/features in order to provide portability as well as to allow users with compact/efficient means for making menu selections and for proper arrangements of the components on the computing device.

As per claim 8-9, Higuchi teaches said indication unit to be a device consisting of a scroll up/down buttons for changing selection of the menu item from said menu and defined button for determining the selected menu item, wherein said defined button is operated to thereby indicate the display of said menu (fig. 1; keys 3-6 and 7).

As per claims 10-11, all claimed features regarding the arrangement of the defined button as well as the scroll up/down buttons being a seesaw switch are well known in the art. It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to include such features with Higuchi's apparatus in order to provide convenient and efficient means for navigating through as well as selecting menu options.

Claims 20 and 27 are individually similar in scope to claims 7, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

#### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the independent claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/765,633

Art Unit: 2174

Inquires

Page 7

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sy Luu whose telephone number is (571) 272-4064. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:300 am to 4:00 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (571) 272-4063.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

(571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SY D. LUU

PRIMARY EXAMINER

**ART UNIT 2174**